

K. Fournier Decl. Exhibit 1

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

3 ---oOo---

4 DEPARTMENT 604

JUDGE ETHAN P. SCHULMAN

5
6 COORDINATION PROCEEDING

CASE No. CJC-21-005188

SPECIAL TITLE [RULE 1550(b)]

7 In Re: Uber Rideshare Cases

8 _____/

9
10
11
12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

13 VOIR DIRE

14 FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2025

15
16
17
18
19
20
21 OFFICIAL STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER PRO TEM:

22 ANDREA M. IGNACIO, CSR, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR

23 CA CSR LICENSE NO. 9830

24 Job No. CS7570148

APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL

FOR PLAINTIFFS JANE DOE LSA 78:

TAYLOR RING

BY: JOHN C. TAYLOR, Esq.

NATALIE WEATHERFORD, Esq.

DEBORAH HANSEN

1220 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 360

Manhattan Beach, California 90266

310.209.4100

taylor@tayloring.com

CO-LEAD COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:

CUTTER LAW P.C.

BY: CELINE CUTTER, Esq.

401 Watt Avenue, Suite 100

Sacramento, California 95864

916.943.7872

ccutter@cutterlaw.com

APPEARANCES (continued.)

CO-LEAD COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:

LEVIN SIMES LLP

BY: WILLIAM A. LEVIN, Esq.

1700 Montgomery Street, Suite 250

San Francisco, California 94111

415.426.5000

wlevin@levinsimes.com

FOR PLAINTIFF:

WILLIAMS HART BOUNDAS

BY: JOHN BOUNDAS, Esq.

BY: BRIAN A. ABRAMSON, Esq.

8441 Gulf Freeway, Suite 600

Houston, Texas 77017

713.230.2200

babramson@whlaw.com

1 APPEARANCES (continued.)

2
3
4 FOR DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; RAISER,
5 LLC, and RAISER-CA LLC:

6 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

7 BY: MARK PREMO-HOPKINS, Esq.

8 BY: ALLI BROWN, Esq.

9 BY: RACHEL PAPALSKI, Paralegal

10 555 California Street, 27th Floor

11 San Francisco, California 94104

12 415.439.1400

13 mark.premohopkins@kirkland.com

14
15
16 ALSO PRESENT:

17 Juliana Manrique, Jury Consultant

18 Christina Marinakis, Jury Consultant

19
20 ---oOo---

SESSIONS

DATE	PAGE
FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2025 - VOIR DIRE	
MORNING SESSION	6
AFTERNOON SESSION	122
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	258

---oOo---

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: It's been a kind
2 general awareness of these kinds of stories for a
3 number of years, but then recently, I saw the article
4 in the Time -- New York Times a month or two ago,
5 which I didn't read in-depth, but I read enough to
6 find the numbers pretty shocking, and yeah, kind of
7 scrolled by because I didn't want to read anymore
8 because it was...

9 STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: Wait. I'm sorry.
10 "Get kind of" --

11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: I just scrolled by
12 after reading -- after getting the gist of the
13 article, basically.

14 THE COURT: Okay. So you said you found the
15 numbers kind of shocking, referring to the statistics
16 on the alleged numbers of incidents?

17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Exactly, yeah.

18 THE COURT: Okay. And you used the word
19 "shocking." I think one of your colleagues earlier
20 used -- you know, said that an article or collection
21 of articles made a strong impression. Would you say
22 the same thing?

23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yeah.

24 THE COURT: We all know, I think,
25 particularly in a polarized society that we're in now,

1 Putting aside your impression from the Times article
2 or other sources, can you decide this particular case
3 based solely on the evidence, or do you feel that
4 that -- the impression that you got from the article
5 is going to overshadow the way you think about the
6 whole case?

7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: I would like to
8 think that I could. But I guess if, you know, looking
9 at the question of, is one side or the other a little
10 bit ahead? I would say that, yeah, I did a little
11 soul searching about it and I was kind of thinking, if
12 it got to a point in the trial where it seemed like I
13 wasn't sure either way, I would likely err on the side
14 of the victim. I don't know if that's a helpful way
15 of -- of putting it.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough. So if, in
17 your view, you had some doubt, the parties seemed kind
18 of equally balanced, in that circumstance you'd be
19 more inclined to give the benefit of the doubt, if you
20 will, to the Plaintiff?

21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Exactly.

22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Again, thank
23 you. Thank you for your candor.

24 Just briefly, a couple of other topics for
25 this group. As I indicated, Jessica C has a surname

1 State of California)
2) ss.
3 County of San Francisco)

4 I, ANDREA M. IGNACIO, Independent
5 Stenographic Court Reporter contracted by the parties,
6 at the Superior Court of California, County of
7 San Francisco, do hereby certify:

8 That I was present at the time of the above
9 proceedings;

10 That I took down in machine shorthand notes
11 all proceedings had and testimony given;

12 That I thereafter transcribed said shorthand
13 notes with the aid of a computer;

14 That the above and foregoing is a full, true,
15 and correct transcription of said shorthand notes, and
16 a full, true and correct transcript of all proceedings
17 had and testimony taken;

18 That I am not a party to the action or
19 related to a party or counsel;

20 That I have no financial or other interest in
21 the outcome of the action.

22
23 Dated: 9-6-2025

24 <%6345,Signature%>
25 ANDREA M. IGNACIO, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR, CSR No. 9830